

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 2298–2301

Facile preparation of N-protected 2-alkylidene-1,3-imidazolidines

Hiroyuki Naito, Takeshi Hata, Hirokazu Urabe *

Department of Biomolecular Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259-B-59 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 226-8501, Japan

Received 19 December 2007; revised 31 January 2008; accepted 4 February 2008 Available online 7 February 2008

Abstract

Stereoselective preparation of unsymmetrically protected 2-alkylidene-1,3-imidazolidines was achieved by the reaction of N,N'protected ethylenediamine, bromopropynamide, and K_3PO_4 in hot DMF. When N-protected aminoethanol was used in place of the protected ethylenediamine, an oxazolidine derivative was produced.

 $© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

Keywords: Imidazolidine; Oxazolidine; Bromoacetylene; Sulfonamide

Alkylideneimidazolidines of structure $3¹$ $3¹$ $3¹$ are conveniently prepared by the conjugate addition of 1,2-diamines 1 to bromopropiolic acid derivatives such as 2 as shown in Scheme 1, while preventing the formation of the tautomeric isomer $4²$ $4²$ $4²$ As the compounds represented by 3 have active hydrogens on the nitrogen atoms, their N-protection is often required to prevent complications in further synthetic transformations.[3](#page-2-0) However, their efficient and full protection is rarely documented.[4](#page-2-0) A critical issue in selective protection is to discriminate between the two amine moieties cis and trans to the carbonyl group. Here we report that a suitably protected diamine 5 can be used instead of the amine 1 itself for the direct preparation of the protected

Corresponding author. Tel./fax: $+81$ 45 924 5849. E-mail address: hurabe@bio.titech.ac.jp (H. Urabe).

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.02.006

Scheme 2.

imidazolidines 7, even for that of unsymmetrically protected ones, as formulated in Scheme 2. This method has an additional advantage that the product is free from contamination with its double-bond regioisomer, as shown in Scheme 1.

In the above transformation, whether a bis-protected diamine 5 shows sufficient nucleophilicity to the bromopropiolic acid derivative 6 appears to be a serious problem, because protection of an amine usually decreases its nucleophilicity and also retards its reactivity as a result of steric hindrance of the protective group(s). We were discouraged by the fact that the reaction of N, N' -diacetyl-1,2-ethylenediamine (8) and ethyl bromopropiolate or N,N-diethylbromopropynamide (9) (see [Table 1](#page-1-0), entry 1) did not give the desired product. However, we soon realized the importance of the nature of the protecting group on the diamine

Table 1 Preparation of 2-alkylidene-1,3-imidazolidines^a

		NHPG ¹ NHPG ²	$Br \rightleftharpoons$ CONR ₂ $(1.1$ equiv)	$P\dot{\Theta}^1$ K_3PO_4 (2 equiv) DMF, 70 \sim 100 °C 'N PG ²	COMR ₂	
Entry	Diamine	Bromopropynamide	Temperature (°C)	Period (h)	Product	Isolated yield ^b (%)
	NHPG NHPG				PG CONE _{t2} PG	
1 2 3 4 5 6	$PG = Ac(8)$ Boc $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{s}$ Tf(10) Ts(12) Ts(12)	$=$ CONEt ₂ (9) $Br -$ 9 9 9 9 9	100 100 100 100 100 100	7 τ τ 7 0.5 τ	$PG = Ac$ Boc Ms Tf(11) Ts(13) Ts(13) $T_{\rm s}$	(0) (5) (19) 33 69 $70\,$
7	12	$Br \longrightarrow CO_2Et$ (14)	100	$\boldsymbol{7}$	$(15)^c$ CO ₂ Et ∼N Ts T_{I} s	44
$\,8\,$	12	$Br \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$ CONBn ₂ (16)	100	7	CON _{R2} Ts $NR_2 = NBn_2$ (19)	85^d
9	12	(17) O	100	7	(20)	91
10	12	$Br -$ (18)	100	7	(21) O Тs	82^e
11	NHTs (22) NHBoc	9	$70\,$	0.5	COMR ₂ Boc $NR_2 = NEt_2$ (23)	$68f$
$12\,$	$\bf 22$	16	$70\,$	$0.5\,$	$NBn_2(24)$	66
$13\,$	$\bf 22$	${\bf 17}$	$70\,$	\mathfrak{S}	(25)	60
$14\,$	$\bf 22$	${\bf 18}$	$70\,$	$\sqrt{5}$	$\overline{)}$ (26)	63

^a Abbreviations: DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide, Ac = acetyl, Boc = t-butyloxycarbonyl, Ms = methanesufonyl, Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, $Ts = p$ -toluenesulfonyl (tosyl), $Bn = b$ enzyl.

 b Yields determined by $¹H$ NMR are in parentheses.</sup></sup>

From a recommending the contract of contract of $\frac{1}{4}$ 16 (2.0 equiv) was used.

^e **18** (1.0 equiv) was used. \int For the E stereochemistry of the olefin, see the text.

(entries 1–6) and we determined that bis-tosyl-protected diamine 12 is the substrate of choice for the transformation of [Scheme 2](#page-0-0) (entry [6](#page-3-0)).⁶ Other optimized reaction conditions are the use of K_3PO_4 and DMF as the base and solvent, respectively (see the equation in [Table 1\)](#page-1-0). A reaction period as short as 0.5 h is satisfactory (cf. entries 5 and 6), but longer times, up to 7 h, were generally used to ensure the completion of the reaction. Bromopropynamide 9 is a better acetylenic substrate than the corresponding ethyl ester 14 (entry 7). With this combination of substrates and reaction conditions, the desired product 13 was obtained in 70% yield. Under similar reaction conditions, other propynamides 16–18 afforded the desired products 19–21 in good yields (entries $8-10$).^{[7](#page-3-0)}

Although the tosyl protection of the diamine, such as in 12, proved to be essential for the success of the reaction, we found that both tosyl groups in 12 are not necessary. Thus, a mono-tosylated diamine having another protective group still effected the desired reaction. For instance, Ts- and Boc-protected diamine 22 afforded the desired product 23 in a satisfactory yield and, surprisingly, as a single stereoisomer [\(Table 1](#page-1-0), entry 11). The stereochemistry of its olefin moiety was assigned as E by a NOESY experiment that showed the correlation between the vinyl proton and ortho-protons of the Ts group. Similarly, unsymmetrical imidazolidines 24–26 were prepared from the corresponding bromoacetylenic amides $16-18$ (entries $12-14$).^{[7,8](#page-3-0)}

This reaction should proceed according to Scheme 3. The sulfonamide moiety of 27, under any condition, takes part in the first conjugate addition/elimination sequence to produce β -(sulfonylamino)propynamide 28. The second intramolecular addition of another amido group in 28 forms enolate 29–31, protonation of which most likely determines the stereochemistry of the product to produce sterically less hindered 32 ($X = Boc$).

The reaction course shown in Scheme 3 suggests that the second nucleophilic addition (i.e., from 28 to 29) might be feasible with other heteroatom functional groups. This expectation was realized when N-tosylated aminoalcohol 33 entered the reaction to produce oxazolidine derivative 34 in excellent yield as a single isomer (Scheme 4). Its

olefinic stereochemistry was assigned as Z by a NOESY experiment.^{[10](#page-3-0)}

In summary, 2-alkylidene-1,3-imidazolidines were conveniently prepared from suitably protected 1,2-diamines and bromoproynamides. Further investigation of synthetic applications of this reaction and its products is now under way.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd for financial support. This work was also supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Young scientists (Start-up) (No. 18890069) to T.H. from Japan Society for the promotion of science.

References and notes

- 1. For reviews on imidazolidines of structure 3, see: (a) Wang, M.; Huang, Z. Prog. Nat. Sci. 2002, 12, 249–257; (b) Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Heterocycles 1994, 37, 1233–1262. For reviews on imidazolidines, see: (c) Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. In The Chemistry of Enamies; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; Wiley Interscience: London, 1994; pp 1303–1363; (d) Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Eds. Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1984; Vol. 5.
- 2. Tikhomirov, D. A.; Slyadevskaya, O. S.; Eremeev, A. V. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin. 1991, 1205–1208; Chem. Heterocycl. Comp. 1991, 27, 966–969.
- 3. The parent 3 and its protected derivatives are a versatile building block for the construction of five-membered diazacycles. For representative synthetic applications, see: (a) Yu, C.-Y.; Yang, P.-H.; Zhao, M.-X.; Huang, Z.-T. Synlett 2006, 1835–1840; (b) Jones, R. C. F.; Patel, P.; Hirst, S. C.; Smallridge, M. J. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 6191–6200; (c) Jones, R. C. F.; Turner, I.; Howard, K. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6329–6332; (d) Jones, R. C. F.; Hirst, S. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5361–5364; (e) Jones, R. C. F.; Hirst, S. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5365-5368; (f) Jones, R. C. F.; Smallridge, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 5005–5008.
- 4. For mono-protected form of 3, see: Ref. 3. For other preparations of derivatives of 3, see: (a) Shubina, Y. V.; Tikhomirov, D. A.; Eremeev, A. V. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin. 1985, 618-622; Chem. Heterocycl. Comp. 1985, 21, 516–520; (b) Wang, H.-T.; Wang, X.-J.; Huang, Z.-T. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 2141–2145; (c) Dannhardt, G.; Laufer, S.; Ziereis, K. Arch. Pharm. 1988, 321, 429–430; (d) Huang, Z.-T.; Tzai, L.-H. Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 2208–2219; (e) Anderson, M. W.; Begley, M. J.; Jones, R. C. F.; Saunders, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 2599–2602. For mono-protection of relevant ketones, see: (g) Wang, M.-X.; Wu, X.-D.; Wang, L.-B.; Huang, Z.-T. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 343–349; (h) Wang, M.-X.; Huang, Z.-T. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2807–2811; (i) Ren, Z.-X.; Li, Z.-J.; Huang, Z.-T. Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 4241–4247.
- 5. For structural confirmation, product 15 was reduced with Dibal to give allylic alcohol 35, which was consistent with the expected structure by ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis.

- 6. In addition, N, N' -bis(o -nitrobenzenesulfonyl)ethylenediamine (di-Nssubstituted 1) did not give the desired product in more than a trace amount. Thus, acyl and sulfonyl derivatives of 1 having a less or more acidic amide proton than that of 12 appear not to participate in this conjugate addition.
- 7. Typical procedures for the preparation of 13 and 23 are as follows: N,N-Diethyl-2-[1,3-di(p-toluenesulfonyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene]acetamide (13): To a solution of N, N' -di(p-toluenesulfonyl)ethylenediamine (12) (73.7 mg, 0.200 mmol) and K_3PO_4 (84.9 mg, 0.400 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) in an oil bath maintained at 100 °C was added N , Ndiethylbromopropynamide (9) (44.8 mg, 0.220 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 7 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane–ethyl acetate) to afford the title compound $(68.5 \text{ mg}, 70\%)$ as a solid. ¹H NMR δ 1.12 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.23 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 3.27–3.45 (m, 8H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR δ 12.57, 13.87, 21.58 (2 peaks), 39.28, 42.26, 45.86, 46.50, 97.72, 127.39, 128.03, 129.79, 130.00, 134.36, 134.98, 138.69, 144.74, 145.07, 165.37. IR (Nujol) 2925, 2854, 1663 (C=O), 1623, 1598, 1365, 1338, 1320, 1261, 1164, 1138, 1087, 1046, 829 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{29}N_3O_5S_2$: C, 56.19; H, 5.95. Found: C, 55.89; H, 6.14. Mp 103– 105 °C. (E) -N,N-Diethyl-[3-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-1-(p-toluenesul-

fonyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene [acetamide(23): To a solution of $N-(t$ butyloxycarbonyl)-N'-(p-toluenesulfonyl)ethylenediamine (22) (62.9 mg, 0.200 mmol) and K_3PO_4 (84.9 mg, 0.400 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) in an oil bath maintained at 70 °C was added N,Ndiethylbromopropynamide (9) (44.9 mg, 0.220 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 0.5 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane–ethyl acetate) to afford the title compound (59.2 mg, 68%) as a solid. ¹H NMR δ 1.14 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.23 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.36 (s, 9H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 3.32–3.72 (m, 8H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H). NOESY experiments showed the correlation between the peaks at δ 6.04 ppm (vinyl H) and at δ 7.74 ppm (ortho-protons of the Ts group). Thus, the stereochemistry of the olefin moiety was assigned to be E .¹³C NMR δ 13.05, 14.07, 21.51, 27.96, 39.53, 42.47, 44.56, 45.38, 81.80, 92.36, 127.39, 129.92, 134.38, 139.99, 144.76, 150.40, 165.60. IR (Nujol) 3167, 2978, 2933, 2240, 1734 (C=O), 1653 (C=O), 1617, 1457, 1363, 1261, 1164, 1090, 953, 918, 848, 816 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₃₁N₃O₅S: C, 57.64; H, 7.14. Found: C, 57.48; H, 6.76. Mp 44-48 °C.

- 8. For the deprotection of Ts and Boc groups, see: Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1991; pp 379–385, 387.
- 9. For preparations and synthetic applications of 2-alkylidene-1,3 oxazolidine derivatives, see: (a) Zhou, A.; Cao, L.; Li, H.; Liu, Z.; Cho, H.; Henry, W. P.; Pittman, C. U., Jr. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 4188–4200; (b) Huang, Z.-T.; Zhang, P.-C. Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 2011–2016; (c) Kurth, M.; Decker, O. H. W.; Hope, H.; Yanuck, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 443–448. However, 2-alkylidene-1,3 oxazolidines of the type 34 have not been included.
- 10. A correlation between the vinyl proton and ortho-protons of the tosyl group was observed.